

1 Abby L. Dennis, DC Bar # 994476
2 Peter Richman, CA Bar # 149107
3 Ashley Masters, TX Bar # 24041412
4 Abigail Wood, DC Bar # 242239

5 Federal Trade Commission
6 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
7 Washington, DC 20580
8 Tel: (202) 326-2381

9 *adennis@ftc.gov; prichman@ftc.gov;*
10 *amasters@ftc.gov; awood@ftc.gov*

11 [Additional counsel identified on signature page in accordance with Local Rule 3-4(a)(1)]

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
14 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 **FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,**

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 **INTERCONTINENTAL**
19 **EXCHANGE, INC.**

20 and

21 **BLACK KNIGHT, INC.,**

22 Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-cv-01710-AMO

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT BY
PLAINTIFF FEDERAL TRADE
COMMISSION

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

BY PLAINTIFF FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”) files this separate Case Management Statement under Civil Local Rule 16-9, the Standing Order for all Judges of the Northern District of California dated January 17, 2023, and the Clerk’s notice setting the case management conference in the above-captioned action. Despite reasonable efforts, the FTC has been unable to obtain the cooperation of Defendants Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. (“ICE”) and Black Knight, Inc. (“Black Knight”) (collectively, “Defendants”) to file a joint statement. *See* Civil L.R. 16-9(a); Masters Decl. in Supp. of Separate Case Management Statement (“Masters Decl.”) ¶¶ 7-11 (ECF No. 27). The FTC sets forth its statement below, and requests entry of the schedule described herein:

A. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE

This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345. There are no issues pending regarding personal jurisdiction. Defendants have not raised objections to venue in this district. Defendants waived service on April 11, 2023.

B. FACTS

Defendant ICE provides market infrastructure, data services, and technology solutions in three segments: exchanges (including the New York Stock Exchange), fixed income and data services, and mortgage technology. In 2020, ICE acquired Ellie Mae, including its industry-leading Encompass loan origination system (“LOS”) and its product pricing and eligibility engine (“PPE”), Encompass Product and Pricing Service (“EPPS”). A PPE is software that allows a lender to identify potential loan rates for a borrower, determine the borrower’s eligibility for a given loan, and lock in the loan’s terms for the borrower. Today, ICE operates these and its other mortgage-related businesses through its ICE Mortgage Technology business unit, which is headquartered in Pleasanton, California.

1 Defendant Black Knight is a provider of software, data, and analytics for the mortgage,
2 real estate, and consumer loan markets. Black Knight’s mortgage technology products include
3 Empower, the second largest LOS in the country; the Mortgage Servicing Platform; Compass
4 Analytics, which Black Knight acquired in 2019; and its industry-leading PPE, Optimal Blue,
5 which Black Knight acquired in 2020.

6 On May 4, 2022, ICE and Black Knight signed an Agreement and Plan of Merger,
7 whereby ICE agreed to acquire 100% of Black Knight for approximately \$13.1 billion.
8 Following an investigation of the proposed acquisition, on March 9, 2023, the Commission, by
9 a 4-0 vote, found reason to believe that the proposed acquisition would substantially lessen
10 competition in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the
11 FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45. On the same day, the Commission commenced an administrative
12 proceeding on the antitrust merits of the proposed acquisition before an Administrative Law
13 Judge, FTC Dkt. No. 9413, with the merits trial scheduled to begin on July 12, 2023.

14 The FTC anticipates that the following issues will be disputed: (a) whether commercial
15 LOSs, all LOSs, PPEs for users of Encompass, or all PPEs constitute relevant antitrust markets
16 as alleged in the FTC’s complaint (ECF No. 1, filed April 10, 2023) (“Complaint” or
17 “Compl.”), and, if so, the contours of those markets (Compl. ¶¶ 37-68); (b) market shares and
18 concentration in the relevant markets (Compl. ¶¶ 69-75); (c) whether it is reasonably probable
19 that the proposed acquisition will result in anticompetitive effects in one or more of the relevant
20 antitrust markets alleged in the Complaint, or in other relevant antitrust markets for ancillary
21 services (Compl. ¶¶ 76-133); (d) whether new entry or expansion by existing firms will be
22 timely, likely, or sufficient to offset any anticompetitive effects (Compl. ¶¶ 134-143); and (e)
23 whether Defendants’ proposed divestiture of certain Black Knight assets to Constellation Web
24 Solutions, Inc. (“Constellation”) will restore competition in the relevant antitrust markets
25 (Compl. ¶¶ 144-145).

26 **C. LEGAL ISSUES**

27 This action presents the following legal issues for determination:

- 1 1. Whether, in an administrative proceeding—the trial of which is scheduled to begin
2 on July 12, 2023—the Commission is likely to succeed in showing that the effect of
3 the proposed acquisition “may be substantially to lessen competition, or tend to
4 create a monopoly,” in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18; and
- 5 2. Whether the Commission has properly shown that, weighing the equities and
6 considering the Commission’s likelihood of ultimate success, a preliminary
7 injunction would be in the public interest.

8 **D. MOTIONS**

9 On April 10, 2023, with respect to the Complaint, the FTC filed an administrative
10 motion to consider whether another party’s confidential information should remain under seal.
11 Dkt. No. 4. On April 17, 2023, Defendants filed a joint administrative motion to file under seal
12 certain portions of the Complaint. Dkt. No. 22. The Court has not yet ruled on these motions.
13 The FTC also intends to file a motion for entry of a stipulated temporary restraining order
14 today.

15 **E. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS**

16 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B), the FTC may amend its Complaint by May 8,
17 2023.

18 **F. EVIDENCE PRESERVATION**

19 The FTC certifies it has reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of
20 Electronically Stored Information and is taking reasonable and proportionate steps to preserve
21 evidence relevant to the issues reasonably evident in this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.
22 26(f).

23 **G. DISCLOSURES**

24 On March 22, 2023, the parties exchanged mandatory initial disclosures pursuant to
25 Rule 3.31(b) of the FTC’s Rules of Practice for Adjudicative Proceedings. 16 C.F.R. § 3.31(b)
26 (requiring disclosure of individuals “likely to have discoverable information,” documents, and
27 electronically stored information “relevant to the allegations of the Commission’s complaint, to

1 the proposed relief, or to the defenses of the respondent”). On April 3, 2023, Defendants served
 2 amended mandatory initial disclosures, and, on April 17, 2023, the FTC served supplemental
 3 mandatory initial disclosures.

4 The FTC believes that the parties’ mandatory initial disclosures from the administrative
 5 proceeding satisfy the initial disclosure requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
 6 26(a)(1) for purposes of this proceeding. The FTC proposes that if the parties need to
 7 supplement or correct their disclosures during the pendency of this action, they will do so
 8 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e) and Rule 3.31(e) of the FTC’s Rules of
 9 Practice for Adjudicative Proceedings.

10 **H. DISCOVERY**

11 1. Discovery Propounded to Date. On March 29, 2023, Chief Administrative Law
 12 Judge Chappell issued a scheduling order in the administrative proceeding (attached
 13 to the concurrently filed Masters Decl. as Exhibit A) that provided, in part, that “any
 14 discovery obtained in this proceeding may be used in the related federal court
 15 litigation, and vice versa.” Masters Decl., Ex. A, ¶ 8.¹ The FTC provides the
 16 following summary of discovery taken to date in the administrative proceeding,
 17 which is subject to the Protective Order Governing Confidential Information issued
 18 by Judge Chappell on March 9, 2023:

19 a) Party Discovery

20 i. FTC: On March 20, 2023, the FTC² produced to Defendants non-
 21 party materials and communications produced to the FTC in the
 22

23 ¹ Judge Chappell’s order also provides that “Document requests, interrogatories, and requests
 24 for admission served by the parties in connection with any federal action will count against the
 25 discovery request limits noted above and vice versa. No individual or entity deposed in one
 26 action may be re-deposed in the other. The parties preserve all rights to object to the
 27 admissibility of evidence.” Masters Decl., Ex. A, ¶ 8.

28 ² In the administrative proceeding, Complaint Counsel—and not the Commission—litigates the
 challenge to the proposed acquisition. Moreover, the parties to the proposed acquisition are
 (Continued...)

1 course of the investigation of ICE’s proposed acquisition of Black
2 Knight, comprising the FTC’s non-privileged investigative file in
3 this matter. On March 22, 2023, ICE and Black Knight issued
4 document requests to the FTC. The FTC timely served responses
5 and objections to the document requests on March 29, 2023. On
6 April 12, 2023, ICE and Black Knight propounded interrogatories
7 to the FTC. Pursuant to Rule 3.35 of the FTC’s Rules of Practice
8 for Adjudicative Proceedings, responses and objections to
9 Defendants’ interrogatories are due on May 12, 2023.

10 ii. Defendants: On March 29, 2023, the FTC propounded document
11 requests on Defendants. Both ICE and Black Knight timely served
12 responses and objections on April 5, 2023. On March 30, 2023, the
13 FTC served ICE and Black Knight with interrogatories, which,
14 pursuant to Rule 3.35 of the FTC’s Rules of Practice for
15 Adjudicative Proceedings, are due on May 1, 2023. The FTC has
16 also noticed 14 depositions of ICE witnesses and 12 of Black
17 Knight witnesses for between April 25, 2023, and May 23, 2023,
18 the close of fact discovery in the administrative proceeding.

19 b) Non-Party Discovery: The parties have served over 40 non-parties with
20 subpoenas *duces tecum* in the administrative proceeding. The FTC has
21 produced non-party materials and communications produced to the FTC in
22 the course of the investigation of ICE’s proposed acquisition of Black
23 Knight, including nine declarations and transcripts from the investigational
24 hearings of nine non-party witnesses.

25
26 _____
27 termed “Respondents.” We use “FTC” and “Defendants” here for ease of the Court and to
28 avoid confusion.

1 2. Timing of Fact and Expert Discovery. The FTC requests that the deadlines for
2 discovery in the administrative proceeding, as set forth in Chief Administrative Law
3 Judge Chappell’s March 29, 2023 Scheduling Order, Masters Decl., Ex. A, apply in
4 this proceeding. In pertinent part:

- 5 a) The deadline for fact discovery shall be **May 23, 2023**, other than discovery
6 permitted under Rule 3.24(a)(4) of the FTC’s Rules of Practice for
7 Adjudicative Proceedings, expert depositions, and discovery for purposes of
8 authenticity of exhibits; provided that this deadline will not preclude the
9 parties from completing discovery of third-parties pursuant to timely served
10 subpoenas whose document productions have not been completed by May
11 23, 2023 and/or who did not make themselves reasonably available for
12 deposition pursuant to a timely subpoena within the fact discovery period.
- 13 b) The FTC will provide its expert witness list on **April 21, 2023**; Defendants
14 will provide their expert witness list on **April 28, 2023**.
- 15 c) The FTC will serve its expert report(s) by **May 30, 2023**. Defendants will
16 serve their expert report(s) by **June 13, 2023**. The FTC will identify any
17 rebuttal expert(s) and serve any rebuttal expert report(s) by **June 23, 2023**.
- 18 d) The deadline for expert depositions shall be **June 29, 2023**.
- 19 e) The parties are permitted no more than five (5) experts per side, as previously
20 agreed in Exhibit A to the Masters Decl.

21 3. Limitations on Party Discovery. The FTC requests that the same limits on discovery
22 set forth in Chief Administrative Law Judge Chappell’s March 29, 2023, Scheduling
23 Order, apply in this proceeding. In pertinent part, no more than 50 document
24 requests, including all discrete subparts; 20 interrogatories, including all discrete
25 subparts; and 10 requests for admission, including all discrete subparts, shall be
26 served on any named party, except that there shall be no limit on the number of
27 requests for admission for authentication and admissibility of exhibits. There is no
28

1 limit to the number of sets of discovery requests the parties may issue, so long as the
2 total number of each type of discovery request, including all subparts, does not
3 exceed these limits. Document requests, interrogatories, and requests for admission
4 served by the parties in connection with the administrative proceeding will count
5 against the discovery request limits noted above and vice versa. No individual or
6 entity deposed in one action may be re-deposed in the other. The parties preserve all
7 rights to object to the admissibility of evidence. The parties shall serve document
8 requests, interrogatories, and requests for admission (except for requests for
9 admission for purposes of authenticity of documents) to parties by no later than **May**
10 **12, 2023**.

11 4. Document Requests and Production. The FTC requests that the parties serve any
12 objections to document requests within 5 business days of service of the request, to
13 meet and confer to attempt to resolve any disputes, and to discuss timing of
14 production within 3 business days of the objections being served. The party
15 responding to document requests will make a good-faith effort to produce responsive
16 documents as expeditiously as possible, including by making productions on a
17 rolling basis.

18 5. Depositions. The FTC believes that relief from the limitation on the number of
19 depositions set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) is necessary and
20 appropriate.

21 a) Number of Depositions: Each side may depose any witness who is listed on
22 either side's preliminary, supplemental, or final witness list in the
23 administrative proceeding; who provides a declaration or affidavit; or who is
24 listed on any party's initial disclosures.

25 b) Allocation of Time: All depositions, including depositions of fact and expert
26 witnesses, shall last no more than seven (7) hours on the record. Unless the
27 parties otherwise agree, at the request of any party, the time and allocation
28

1 for a non-party deposition shall be divided evenly between them, but the
2 noticing party may use any additional time not used by the opposing party. If
3 no party makes such a request, cross-examination of the witness will be
4 limited to one hour. For purposes of allocating deposition time, the FTC
5 proposes that former employees, consultants, agents, contractors, or
6 representatives of the parties are considered party witnesses if they are
7 represented by Defendants' counsel or if any Defendant is paying for the
8 witness' counsel, and Defendants may not subpoena depositions of their own
9 party witnesses.

10 6. Non-Party Subpoenas. Non-parties shall provide copies or make available for
11 inspection and copying of documents requested by subpoena to the party issuing the
12 subpoena. The party that has requested documents from non-parties shall provide
13 copies of the documents received from non-parties to the opposing party within 3
14 business days of receiving the documents. No deposition of a non-party shall be
15 scheduled between the time a non-party provides documents in response to a
16 subpoena *duces tecum* to a party, and 3 business days after the party provides those
17 documents to the other party, unless a shorter time is required by unforeseen
18 logistical issues in scheduling the deposition, or a non-party produces those
19 documents at the time of the deposition, as agreed to by all parties involved. The
20 parties shall serve any subpoenas on non-parties no later than **May 12, 2023**.

21 7. Declarations. A party that obtains a declaration from a non-party will promptly
22 produce it to the other side, and in any event not later than (1) 7 days before the non-
23 party is scheduled to be deposed, or (2) **May 9, 2023**, whichever is earlier, absent a
24 showing of good cause. Each side is limited to 15 declarations by non-parties,
25 except for declarations regarding authenticity and admissibility of exhibits. The
26 parties reserve all rights and objections with respect to the use and/or admissibility
27
28

1 of any declaration, and no declaration will be admitted unless a fair opportunity was
2 available to depose the declarant.

3 8. Limitations on Expert Discovery. Expert disclosures, including each side's expert
4 reports, shall comply with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
5 26(a)(2), except as modified herein:

6 a) Neither side must preserve or disclose, including in expert deposition
7 testimony, the following documents or materials:

- 8 i. any form of communication or work product shared between any of
9 the parties' counsel and their expert(s), or between any of the
10 experts themselves;
- 11 ii. any form of communication or work product shared between an
12 expert(s) and persons assisting the expert(s);
- 13 iii. expert's notes, unless they constitute the only record of a fact or an
14 assumption relied upon by the expert in formulating an opinion in
15 this case;
- 16 iv. drafts of expert reports, analyses, or other work product; or
- 17 v. data formulations, data runs, data analyses, or any database-related
18 operations not relied upon by the expert in the opinions contained in
19 his or her final report.

20 b) The FTC requests that the parties will disclose the following materials with
21 all expert reports:

- 22 i. a list by Bates number of all documents relied upon by the
23 testifying expert(s); and copies of any materials relied upon by the
24 expert not previously produced that are not readily available
25 publicly;
- 26 ii. a list of all commercially-available computer programs used by the
27 expert in the preparation of the report;
- 28

- 1 iii. a copy of all data sets used by the expert, in native file format and
- 2 processed data file format; and
- 3 iv. all customized computer programs used by the expert in the
- 4 preparation of the report or necessary to replicate the findings on
- 5 which the expert report is based.

6 9. Protective Order. The FTC is in the process of negotiating a protective order with
7 Defendants and intend to submit a motion for entry of that protective order in short
8 order.

9 10. Remote Deposition Protocol. The parties have agreed that the Stipulation and Order
10 Governing the Taking of Remote Depositions, entered by Chief Administrative Law
11 Judge Chappell in the administrative proceeding on April 6, 2023 and attached
12 hereto as Exhibit 1, will apply in this proceeding, and the FTC plans to file a
13 stipulation and proposed order to that effect.

14 **I. CLASS ACTIONS**

15 There is no proposed class at issue in this matter.

16 **J. RELATED CASES**

17 On March 9, 2023, the Commission commenced an administrative proceeding on the
18 antitrust merits of the proposed acquisition, FTC Dkt. No. 9413, with the merits trial scheduled
19 to begin on July 12, 2023.

20 **K. RELIEF**

21 The FTC requests that the Court enter a preliminary injunction to prevent Defendants
22 from taking any further steps to consummate the proposed acquisition, or any other acquisition
23 of stock, assets, or other interests of one another, either directly or indirectly; retain jurisdiction
24 and maintain the status quo until the administrative proceeding initiated by the Commission is
25 concluded; and award such other and further relief as the Court may determine is appropriate,
26 just, and proper.

27 **L. SETTLEMENT AND ADR**

1 The parties have not engaged in formal settlement discussions, and the FTC believes that
2 ADR is unlikely to resolve differences between the parties.

3 **M. CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES**

4 The FTC declines to consent to proceed before a Magistrate Judge for all purposes; this
5 matter was assigned to U.S. District Court Judge Araceli Martínez-Olguín on April 11, 2023.

6 **N. OTHER REFERENCES**

7 The FTC does not believe this case is suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a
8 special master or the JPML.

9 **O. NARROWING OF ISSUES**

10 The FTC does not believe that it is possible to narrow the issues at this time.

11 **P. EXPEDITED TRIAL PROCEDURE**

12 The FTC does not believe that this case is appropriate to be handled under the Expedited
13 Trial Procedure of General Order 64.

14 **Q. PROPOSED CASE SCHEDULE**

15 The FTC requests the following proposed schedule in this matter:

16 1. Fact Discovery. The deadline for fact discovery shall be **May 23, 2023**, other than
17 discovery permitted under Rule 3.24(a)(4) of the FTC's Rules of Practice for
18 Adjudicative Proceedings, expert depositions, and discovery for purposes of
19 authenticity of exhibits; provided that this deadline will not preclude the parties from
20 completing discovery of third-parties pursuant to timely served subpoenas whose
21 document productions have not been completed by May 23, 2023 and/or who did not
22 make themselves reasonably available for deposition pursuant to a timely subpoena
23 within the fact discovery period.

24 2. Expert Discovery.

25 a) The FTC will provide its expert witness list on **April 21, 2023**; Defendants
26 will provide their expert witness list on **April 28, 2023**.

1 b) The FTC will serve its expert report(s) by **May 30, 2023**, Defendants will
2 serve their expert report(s) by **June 13, 2023**, and the FTC will identify any
3 rebuttal expert(s) and serve any rebuttal expert report(s) by **June 23, 2023**.

4 c) The deadline for expert depositions shall be **June 29, 2023**.

5 3. Motions and Briefing.

6 a) The FTC shall file its memorandum in support of its request for a preliminary
7 injunction by **August 18, 2023**. The FTC's memorandum shall not exceed
8 50 pages.

9 b) Defendants shall file their memorandum(s) in opposition to the FTC's
10 request for a preliminary injunction by **September 1, 2023**. Defendants'
11 memorandum(s) shall cumulatively not exceed 50 pages.

12 c) The FTC shall file its reply memorandum in support of its request for a
13 preliminary injunction by **September 8, 2023**. The FTC's reply
14 memorandum shall not exceed 25 pages.

15 4. The Administrative Record. By no later than **August 18, 2023**, the parties will
16 jointly submit to this Court a comprehensive listing of all the materials in the record
17 of the administrative proceeding, FTC Dkt. No. 9413. Consistent with the long-
18 standing practice of the federal courts in proceedings, like this one, that relate to an
19 underlying administrative hearing, the entire administrative record from the FTC
20 administrative proceeding will be in the record and can be considered as evidence in
21 this Court.

22 **R. PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING**

23 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-2(a), the FTC respectfully requests oral argument on the
24 FTC's request for a preliminary injunction as soon as convenient for the Court on or after
25 **September 22, 2023**.

26 **S. DISCLOSURE OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS**

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-15, Defendant ICE filed its Certification of Interested
2 Entities or Persons on April 14, 2023.

3 **T. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT**

4 All attorneys of record for the FTC have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional
5 Conduct for the Northern District of California.

6 **U. OTHER MATTERS**

- 7 1. Service. Service of any documents not filed via ECF, including pleadings, discovery
8 requests, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 subpoenas for testimony or documents,
9 expert disclosure, and delivery of all correspondence, whether under seal or
10 otherwise, shall be by electronic mail to the following individuals designated by
11 each party:

12 a) For Plaintiff:

13 Abby L. Dennis
14 adennis@ftc.gov
(202) 326-2381

15 Ashley Masters
16 amasters@ftc.gov
(202) 326-2291

17 Abigail Wood
18 awood@ftc.gov
(202) 326-3642

19 Samantha Artison
20 sartison@ftc.gov
(202) 326-3742

21 Rebecca Hyman
22 rhyman@ftc.gov
(202) 326-3563

23 Federal Trade Commission
24 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
25 Washington, DC 20580
26
27
28

1 In the event the volume of served materials is too large for email and requires
2 electronic data transfer by file transfer protocol or a similar technology, or overnight
3 delivery if agreed by the parties, the serving party will telephone or email the other
4 side's principal designee when the materials are sent to provide notice that the
5 materials are being served. For purposes of calculating discovery response times
6 under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, electronic delivery shall be treated the
7 same as hand delivery.

8 2. Privilege Logs. The FTC proposes to suspend the obligations of Federal Rule of
9 Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(A) to produce a log of privileged materials withheld from
10 discovery taken in this action (excluding Defendants' productions made during the
11 course of the FTC's pre-complaint investigation). Notwithstanding the foregoing,
12 the FTC proposes that parties shall log withheld materials that are: (1) authored by,
13 addressed to, or received from any non-party; or (2) internal to a party that are not
14 authored by, sent to, or received from the party's attorneys. For purposes of this
15 paragraph, a "non-party" excludes a party's retained testifying or consulting expert
16 and employees of such expert within the meaning of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
17 26(b), as well as any domestic or foreign law enforcement or regulatory authorities.
18 Further, the FTC proposes that parties shall maintain all documents responsive to a
19 discovery request that they withhold pursuant to a claim of privilege or protection.

20 3. Inadvertent Production of Protected Material. The FTC proposes that, in accordance
21 with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(3)(B)(iv) and Federal Rule of Evidence
22 502(d), inadvertent production of documents or communications containing
23 privileged information or attorney work product shall not be a basis for loss of
24 privilege or work product of the inadvertently produced material, provided that the
25 producing party notifies the receiving party within three (3) business days of
26 learning of the inadvertent production. When a party determines that it has
27 inadvertently produced such material, it will notify the other parties, who will
28

1 promptly return, sequester, or delete the protected material from their document
2 management systems. Within two (2) business days of identifying inadvertently
3 produced information or documents(s), the party seeking claw-back of such
4 materials shall provide a revised privilege log for the identified information or
5 documents.

- 6 4. Attorney Work-Product. The FTC proposes that the parties will neither request nor
7 seek to compel the production of any interview notes, interview memoranda, or
8 recitation of information contained in such notes or memoranda, created by any
9 party's Counsel, except as specified in Paragraph H(8)(a). Nothing in the FTC's
10 proposal requires the production of any party's attorney work-product; confidential
11 attorney-client communications; communications with or information provided to
12 any potentially or actually retained expert; communications between the FTC and
13 other domestic or foreign law enforcement or regulatory agencies; communications
14 between counsel for the FTC, its Commissioners and/or persons employed by the
15 FTC; or materials subject to the deliberative-process privilege or any other privilege.
- 16 5. Modification of Scheduling and Case Management Order. The FTC proposes to
17 include in any case management order language to the effect of: any party may seek
18 modification of this Order for good cause, except that the parties may also modify
19 discovery and expert disclosure deadlines by agreement.

20 Dated: April 21, 2023

21 Respectfully submitted,

22 /s/ Abby L. Dennis

23 Abby L. Dennis

24 Peter Richman

25 Ashley Masters

26 Abigail Wood

27 Daniel Aldrich

28 Laura Antonini

Catharine Bill

Caitlin Cipicchio

Steven Couper

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Kurt Herrera-Heintz
Janet Kim
Christopher Lamar
Lauren Sillman
Neal Perlman
Nicolas Stebinger
Nina Thanawala
Taylor Weaver

Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580
Tel: (202) 326-2381

*Counsel for Plaintiff Federal Trade
Commission*